arXiv:1002.3090v1 [math.DS] 16 Feb 2010

# On the global attractivity and oscillations in a class of second order difference equations from macroeconomics

Hassan A. El-Morshedy*
(`elmorshedy@yahoo.com`)

**Abstract**

New global attractivity criteria are obtained for the second order difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

via a Lyapunov-like method. Some of these results are sharp and support recent related conjectures. Also, a necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of this equation is obtained using comparison with a second order linear difference equation with positive coefficients.

**Key words.** Nonlinear difference equations, Global attractivity, Oscillation, Macroeconomics models
**AMS subject classifications.** 39A10, 39A11

## 1 Introduction

Consider the second order difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{1.1}$$

where $c \in [0, 1)$, $f : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ is a continuous real function and the initial values $x_0$, $x_1$ are real numbers. Various particular cases of (1.1) have appeared in mathematical models

---

*Department of Mathematics, Damietta Faculty of Science, New Damietta 34517, Egypt.

of macroeconomics. For prototype examples, the reader is referred to Samuelson [11], Hicks [7] and Puu [10]. Motivated by those examples Sedaghat in [12] proposed and investigated the general form (1.1). We mention here that for sigmoidal or tanh-like nonlinearities, equation (1.1) can also be regarded as the discrete analogue of the single delayed neuron model

$$x'(t) = -\alpha x(t) + f(x(t) - x(t-\tau))$$

using (forward) Newton discretization scheme with step size equals $\tau$. An account of the stability analysis and/or the oscillations of the above continuous *neuronic* equation and some related equations can be found in [2, 3, 4, 5] while a higher order discrete neuronic version has been investigated by [6].

The global attractivity (stability), boundedness and/or oscillations of (1.1) have been considered by [8, 12, 13, 14]. Very recently, Li and Zhang [9] studied its bifurcation .

It will be assumed, without loss of generality, that the origin is the unique equilibrium point of (1.1).

The known global attractivity results for (1.1) are collected in the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** *Assume that $|f(t)| \leq a|t|$ for all $t$. The origin of (1.1) is globally attracting if any one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

*(c1) [8, Corollary 1]*

$$a < \frac{1-c}{2}. \tag{1.2}$$

*(c2) [8, Corollary 4] $tf(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{R}$ and*

$$a < \max\{b,\, 1-c,\, d\} \tag{1.3}$$

*where $b = (1-\sqrt{1-c})^2$ and $d = \frac{2-c}{3-c}$. The same conclusion also holds when $a = 1-c$, $c \neq 0$ or $a = d$.*

*(c3) [14, Theorem 8] $f(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{R}$ and*

$$a < \max\{1-c,\, c\}. \tag{1.4}$$

**Conjecture 1.2** *[8, 14] If $|f(t)| \leq a|t|$ and $tf(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{R}$ where $a \in (0, 1)$, then the origin of (1.1) is globally attracting.*

**Conjecture 1.3** *[14] If* $0 \leq f(t) \leq a|t|$ *for some* $a \in (0, 1)$ *and all* $t \in \mathcal{R}$*, then the origin of (1.1) is globally attracting.*

In this work, we contribute to the validity of the above conjectures by improving Theorem 1.1. We use a Lyapunov-like method to investigate the global attractivity of the origin of (1.1). Moreover, the oscillation of (1.1) is studied via comparison with the oscillation of a second order difference equation with constant coefficients. This helps us to improve [8, Theorem 2(a)]. Necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of (1.1) is obtained when $\frac{f(x)}{x}$ is maximized at 0. Here an equation is called oscillatory if each of its solutions is neither eventually negative nor eventually positive.

The following proposition will be needed in some of the proofs below.

**Proposition 1.4** *Let* $\{x_n\}$ *and* $\{y_n\}$ *be two real sequences such that* $y_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$ *and* $x_2 = cx_1 + f(x_1 - x_0)$*. Then* $\{x_n\}$ *is a solution of equation (1.1) if and only if* $\{y_n\}$ *is a solution of the equation*

$$y_{n+1} = cy_n + f(y_n) - f(y_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2. \tag{1.5}$$

*Moreover, the origin of (1.1) is globally attracting if and only if the origin of (1.5) is globally attracting.*

**Proof**. If $\{x_n\}$ is a solution of (1.1) and $y_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$, it follows that

$$y_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - x_n = cy_n + f(y_n) - f(y_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Now assume that $y_n$ is a solution of (1.5), then

$$x_{n+1} - cx_n - f(x_n - x_{n-1}) = x_n - cx_{n-1} - f(x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Since $x_2 - cx_1 - f(x_1 - x_0) = 0$, then the above equality implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a solution of (1.1) as desired. This proves the first part of the proposition. For the second part, we prove only that the origin of (1.1) is globally attracting provided that the origin of (1.5) is globally attracting. This clearly follows from (1.1) since for any solution $\{x_n\}$ of (1.1) there exists a solution $\{y_n\}$ of (1.5) such that

$$x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(y_n), \quad n \geq 1$$

which implies that $y_{n+1} - f(y_n) = (c-1)x_n$ and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} (c-1)^{-1}\{y_{n+1} - f(y_n)\} = 0.$$

## 2 Global attractivity

We start with the following sharp result.

**Theorem 2.1** *Assume that $|f(t)| \le a|t|$ for all $t$. If*

$$a < \frac{1+c}{2}, \tag{2.1}$$

*then the origin of (1.1) is globally attracting.*

**Proof**. Due to Proposition 1.4, it is enough to prove the global attractivity of the origin of (1.5).

Let $\{V_n\}_{n\ge 2}$ be defined as follows

$$V_n = \beta(y_n - f(y_{n-1}))^2 + \gamma(f(y_{n-1}))^2, \quad n \ge 2$$

where $\{y_n\}$ be any solution of (1.5) and $\beta$, $\gamma$ are positive real numbers to be determined later. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_n &= \beta(c^2-1)y_n^2 - 2\beta(c-1)y_n f(y_{n-1}) + \gamma(f(y_n))^2 - \gamma(f(y_{n-1}))^2 \\ &\le [\gamma a^2 - \beta(1-c^2)]y_n^2 + 2\beta(1-c)y_n f(y_{n-1}) - \gamma(f(y_{n-1}))^2, \; n \ge 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Completing square with respect to $f(y_{n-1})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_n &\le -Ay_n^2 - \gamma(f(y_{n-1}) - \frac{\beta}{\gamma}(1-c)y_n)^2 \\ &\le -Ay_n^2, \quad n \ge 2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $A = -\gamma a^2 - \frac{\beta^2}{\gamma}(1-c)^2 + \beta(1-c^2)$. We require that $A > 0$ for some $\gamma$, $\beta > 0$. This is equivalent to saying that

$$\frac{1+c-\sqrt{(1+c)^2-4a^2}}{2(1-c)} < \frac{\beta}{\gamma} < \frac{1+c+\sqrt{(1+c)^2-4a^2}}{2(1-c)}.$$

So $\beta$ and $\gamma$ exist if (2.1) holds. Hence summing (2.3) from 2 to $n$, we obtain

$$V_{n+1} - V_2 \le -A\sum_{i=2}^{n} y_i^2.$$

Since $V_n \ge 0$ for all $n \ge 2$, the above inequality leads to the convergence of $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} y_i^2$ and hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} \; y_n = 0$.

**Remark 2.2** *Generally; the above result can not be weakened for functions satisfying* $|f(t)| \leq a|t|$ *on* $\mathcal{R}$. *Indeed when* $a \geq \frac{1+c}{2}$, *one can find functions* $f$ *with which equation (1.1) has solutions that are not attracted to the origin. For example, when* $f(t) = -at$ *(see [8, p.1261]), the characteristic polynomial associated with equation (1.1) has negative solution* $\lambda \leq -1$ *and so for* $x_n = \lambda^n$, *the solution* $\{x_n\}$ *diverges. Moreover, we observe that Theorem 2.1 improves Theorem 1.1(c1) for* $c \in (0, 1)$ *and Theorem 1.1(c2),(c3) when* $c > \frac{1}{3}$.

**Theorem 2.3** *Assume that* $0 \leq f(t) \leq a|t|$ *for all* $t \in \mathcal{R}$. *If*

$$a^2 < \frac{1+c}{2l}, \quad l = \max\{\frac{a}{a+c}, \frac{a}{a+1-c}\}, \tag{2.4}$$

*then the origin of (1.1) is globally attracting.*

**Proof**. Since

$$x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1}) \geq cx_n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

then $\{x_n\}$ is eventually of one sign. Let $x_n < 0$ for all $n \geq n_0 > 2$. Then $0 > x_{n+1} > x_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$. Therefore, $x_n > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$ which implies that

$$x_{n+1} < x_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad n \geq n_0 + 1.$$

That is,

$$y_{n+1} < f(y_n), \text{ for all } n \geq n_0 + 1 \tag{2.5}$$

where $y_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$ for $n \geq n_0 + 1$. This inequality yields

$$y_n < a|y_{n-1}|, \quad n \geq n_0 + 2$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{y_n}{a} < \begin{cases} y_{n-1}, & y_{n-1} > 0 \\ -y_{n-1}, & y_{n-1} < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Using (1.5) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= cy_{n-1} + f(y_{n-1}) - f(y_{n-2}) \\ &< \begin{cases} cy_{n-1} + f(y_{n-1}), & y_{n-1} < 0 \\ (c-1)y_{n-1} + f(y_{n-1}), & y_{n-1} > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So (2.6) yields

$$y_n < \begin{cases} \frac{-c}{a} y_n + f(y_{n-1}), & y_{n-1} < 0 \\ \frac{c-1}{a} y_n + f(y_{n-1}), & y_{n-1} > 0. \end{cases}$$

Rearranging,

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &< \begin{cases} \frac{a}{a+c} f(y_{n-1}), & y_{n-1} < 0 \\ \frac{a}{a-c+1} f(y_{n-1}), & y_{n-1} > 0 \end{cases} \\ &< l f(y_{n-1}), \quad n \geq n_0 + 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Define $V_n$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, then (2.2) and (2.7) imply that

$$\Delta V_n < [\gamma a^2 - \beta(1-c^2)] y_n^2 + [2\beta(1-c)l - \gamma](f(y_{n-1}))^2.$$

In view of (2.4), we have $\frac{1-c^2}{a^2} > 2(1-c)l$. Thus the values of $\beta$, $\gamma$ can be chosen such that

$$2(1-c)l < \frac{\gamma}{\beta} < \frac{1-c^2}{a^2}$$

which yields

$$\gamma a^2 - \beta(1-c^2) = -B < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\beta(1-c)l - \gamma < 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta V_n < -B y_n^2, \quad n \geq n_0 + 2$$

which implies, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$.

**Remark 2.4** *It is easy to see that (2.4) improves (2.1) for* $a \in (0, 1]$*. Moreover, since*

$$\frac{1+c}{2l} = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+c)(a+c)}{2a}, & c \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{(1+c)(1+a-c)}{2a}, & c \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

*Then condition (2.4) holds, with* $a \in (0, 1]$*, provided that*

$$a^2 < \begin{cases} \frac{(1+c)^2}{2}, & c \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{(1+c)(2-c)}{2}, & c \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

*which in turn is satisfied for all* $c \geq -1 + \sqrt{2}$*. This gives a partial positive answer to Conjecture 1.3.*

*On the other hand, Theorem 2.3 can be used when* $a > 1$*. For example when* $c = 1/2$*, (2.4) becomes* $a^3 - \frac{3}{4}a - \frac{3}{8} < 0$ *which is satisfied for all* $a < 1.0519...$*. This suggests that Conjecture 1.3 is true for* $a \in (0, \alpha)$ *and some* $\alpha > 1$*.*

# 3 Oscillations

The following result refines Lemma 3 in [8] for certain types of $f$.

**Lemma 3.1** *Assume that* $tf(t) \geq 0$ *for all* $t \in \mathcal{R}$ *and* $\{x_n\}$ *be any nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1). If*

$$|f(t)| \leq a|t| \quad \textit{for all } |t| \geq t_0 \textit{ and some } a \leq 1 \tag{3.1}$$

*where* $t_0$ *is a sufficiently large number, then* $x_n \Delta x_n < 0$ *eventually.*

**Proof**. Using [8, Lemma 3], we see that $\{x_n\}$ is eventually monotonic. We assume that $x_n > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0 > 0$ (the case when $x_n < 0$, eventually, can be handled similarly).

For the sake of contradiction, we assume that $\Delta x_n > 0$, $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0$. It follows that either $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = l > 0$ or $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \infty$. The first case is impossible as the only possible limit of $\{x_n\}$ is zero. Now, in view of the increasing nature of $\{x_n\}_{n\geq n_1}$, equation (1.1) implies that

$$(1-c)x_{n+1} < f(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad n \geq n_1.$$

So $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(\Delta x_{n-1}) = \infty$ which is possible only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta x_{n-1} = \infty$. Using (3.1), it is easy to find $n_2 \geq n_1$ such that $f(\Delta x_{n-1}) \leq a\Delta x_{n-1}$ for $n \geq n_2$. Therefore, equation (1.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &\leq cx_n + a(x_n - x_{n-1}) \\ &< x_n + a\Delta x_{n-1}, \quad n \geq n_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Delta x_n < a\Delta x_{n-1} \leq \Delta x_{n-1}$ for $n \geq n_2$ and hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta x_n \neq \infty$.

**Remark 3.2** *We observe that condition (3.1) covers many types of functions. For example; each of the following functions satisfies (3.1):*

$$f: \ |f(t)| \leq a \quad \textit{for all } t \quad \textit{and some } a > 0,$$

$$f: \ |f(t)| \leq a|t| \quad \textit{for all } t \quad \textit{and some } a \leq 1, \tag{3.2}$$

*and the sublinear function*

$$f: \ f(t) = |t|^{\lambda} sgn\, t, \quad \lambda \in (0,\, 1) \tag{3.3}$$

*where*

$$sgn\,t = \begin{cases} -1, & t < 0 \\ 0, & t = 0 \\ +1, & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.3** *Assume that all assumptions of Lemma 3.1 hold and there exist* $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 \in (0, \infty)$ *such that* $\liminf_{t\to 0^-} \frac{f(t)}{t} \geq \alpha_1$ *and* $\liminf_{t\to 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} \geq \alpha_2$. *If*

$$(1-\sqrt{1-c})^2 < \alpha_i < (1+\sqrt{1-c})^2, \quad \text{for } i = 1,2 \tag{3.4}$$

*then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.*

**Proof**. If $\{x_n\}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then it is either eventually negative or eventually positive. Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is eventually positive. Then $x_n > 0$ for all $n \geq \bar{n}$ for some $\bar{n} > 1$ and Lemma 3.1 implies that $\Delta x_n < 0$ for all $n \geq n_1 > \bar{n}$ which in turn yields $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta x_{n-1} = 0$ and consequently $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(\Delta x_{n-1})}{\Delta x_{n-1}} \geq \alpha_1$. Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0\,(\alpha_1 - \epsilon > 0)$ there exists $n_2 \geq n_1$ such that

$$f(\Delta x_{n-1}) \leq (\alpha_1 - \epsilon)\Delta x_{n-1}, \quad n \geq n_2.$$

Substituting into (1.1),

$$x_{n+1} \leq (c + \alpha_1 - \epsilon)x_n - (\alpha_1 - \epsilon)x_{n-1},$$

which can be rewritten in the self-adjoint form

$$\Delta((\alpha_1 - \epsilon)^{-n+1}\Delta x_{n-1}) + (1-c)(\alpha_1 - \epsilon)^{-n}x_n \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_2.$$

The existence of a positive solution of the above inequality implies (see [1, p.470]) the nonoscillation of the second order difference equation

$$\Delta((\alpha_1 - \epsilon)^{-n+1}\Delta z_{n-1}) + (1-c)(\alpha_1 - \epsilon)^{-n}z_n = 0, \quad n \geq n_2.$$

This is possible only if the corresponding characteristic polynomial

$$\lambda^2 - (c + \alpha_1 - \epsilon)\lambda + (\alpha_1 - \epsilon) = 0$$

has positive solutions; that is when $(\alpha_1 - \epsilon) \leq (1-\sqrt{1-c})^2$ or $(\alpha_1 - \epsilon) \geq (1+\sqrt{1-c})^2$. Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, then these inequalities can not hold due to (3.4). This contradiction proves this case.

When $x_n < 0$ eventually, similar arguments lead to the proof. We omit the details to avoid repetition.

**Remark 3.4** *Since* $\alpha_1$ *and* $\alpha_2$ *are calculated at zero, then Theorem 3.3 improves [8, Theorem 2(a)].*

Theorem 2(b) in [8] asserts that (1.1) is nonoscillatory if $tf(t) \geq 0$, $|f(t)| \leq a|t|$ for all $t \in \mathcal{R}$ and $a \leq b = (1 - \sqrt{1-c})^2$. Now, if $f$ is continuously differentiable at zero and $\frac{f(x)}{x}$ is maximized at zero (i.e., $\frac{f(x)}{x} \leq f'(0)$ for all $x \neq 0$), then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = f'(0)$ and a combination of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 2(b) in [8] leads to the following necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of (1.1).

**Corollary 3.5** *Assume that* $f$ *is continuously differentiable at zero and* $0 < \frac{f(t)}{t} \leq f'(0) < 1$ *for* $t \neq 0$. *Then equation (1.1) is oscillatory if and only if* $f'(0) > (1-\sqrt{1-c})^2$.

**Example 3.6** *Consider the discrete single neuron model*

$$x_{n+1} = cx_n + a\tanh(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad 0 < a < 1.$$

*Here* $f(t) = a\tanh t$ *and* $f'(t) \leq a\,sech^2 0 = a$ *for all* $t$. *Then all solutions of this model oscillate if and only if* $a > (1-\sqrt{1-c})^2$.

Theorem 3.3 can also be used to investigate the oscillation of (1.1) when $f$ is a sublinear function. In this case, in addition to (3.1), $f$ satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$ can be suitably chosen to satisfy (3.4).

**Corollary 3.7** *Assume that* $f$ *satisfies (3.5) and all assumptions of Lemma 3.1. Then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.*

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

# References

[1] S. Chen and Lynn H. Erbe, *Riccati techniques and discrete oscillations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 142(1989), 468-487.

[2] H. A. El-Morshedy and B. M. El-Matary, *Oscillation and global asymptotic stability of a neuronic equation with two delays*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2008(2008), 1-21.

[3] H. A. El-Morshedy and K. Gopalsamy, *On the oscillation and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a neuronic equation*, Funkcial. Ekvac. 44(2001) 83-98.

[4] K. Gopalsamy and I. K. C. Leung, *Convergence under dynamical thresholds with delays*, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks, 8(1997), 341-348.

[5] I. Györi and F. Hartung, *Stability of a single neuron model with delay*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 157(2003), 73-92.

[6] Y. Hamaya, *On the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of neuronic difference equations*, Proc. of Internat. Conf. on Difference Eqns, Special Funcs. and Appl. (S. Elaydi et al, Editors) Munich, Germany, 25-30 July 2005, World Scientific (2005), pp. 258-265.

[7] J. R. Hicks, *A contribution to the theory of the trade cycle*, 2nd Ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965.

[8] C. M. Kent and H. Sedaghat, *Global stability and boundedness in* $x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1})$, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 10(2004), 1215-1227.

[9] S. Li and W. Zhang, *Bifurcation in a second-order difference equation from macroeconomics*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 14(2008), 91-104.

[10] T. Puu, *Nonlinear economic dynamics*, 3rd Ed., Springer, New York, 1993.

[11] H. A. Samuelson, *Interaction between the multiplier analysis and the principle of acceleration*, Rev. Econ. Stat. 21(1939), 75-78.

[12] H. Sedaghat, *A class of nonlinear second order difference equations from macroeconomics*, Nonlinear Anal. 29(1997), 593-603.

[13] H. Sedaghat, *Regarding the equation* $x_{n+1} = cx_n + f(x_n - x_{n-1})$, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 8(2002), 667-671.

[14] H. Sedaghat, *Global attractivity, oscillation and chaos in a class of nonlinear second order difference equations*, Cubo 7(2005), 89-110.